

Sewer/Water – 8-24-2009

- Members: Jack, Bill Trygstad, Beth, Wehlage, Adam Johnson, Chad

-- Daryl Oevering: Hook up through the new subdivision, not through the water project – though there is a T for the water main for the project. Why should he have to pay for the water access charge if the project is paying for the access charge within the next year? He will hook up to sewer and water along as he can have the WAC waived. Wadewitz brought sewer/water lines to his property between two properties along Rollin' Sunset Drive. Taking out the T on the water project, it would save the City \$627 (about). \$1000 for SAC, \$1500 for WAC, and \$100 for water meter. The grant is set up to waive the WAC if the homeowner hooks up within a year. Garvin Brook Drive has been waived of their assessments. Daryl says if the WAC is not waived he will let the City make the T for the water project, and hook up that way for water, since it will be waived that way. Committee recommends that since Mr. Oevering will be hooking into the system, the City should waive the WAC, also because this will save the City money for not putting in a T for the water project. He is also part of the water grant project and they have the choice of hooking up within the year and having the WAC waived. Be on September 8th Council meeting agenda for them to discuss. MCPA will be giving some help to those with sandpoint wells. Oevering will be capping his well, and discarding his individual septic system.

-- Chris had asked at the last council meeting who decides on the changes to be made during the project. Like the TDI line will increase the project costs, this is why we have the contingency money. Engineer cannot wait for the next council meeting to make some of these decisions, and it's also their responsibility to make these decisions. If the Council would like to make the changes, the Council needs to make this known with Bill, the council could point someone to make these decisions.

-- Brian Rasmussen – TDI – using 30,000 gallons per day, thou not all is going down our sewer lines, most of that is getting dumped out back. Can the City handle this? The City well would run six hours per day just for them. TDI would like to hook up, but it would be only for bathrooms, fire sprinklers, kitchenette – the rest would come from the deep well, since they already have a permit from DNR for cooling water. Bill stated this could happen, and it would be acceptable. Wehlage, what would happen if their well does down and they would need to totally tie in, there wouldn't be enough sewer capacity for this load. Their cooling water cannot go into the sewer ponds. Committee has some work to do for this problem. TDI should get a back-flow preventor. Should be in the ordinance, these should also be inspected once a year by a certified person. How is the committee going to come up with a fee for industrial? Charging xx amount for the usage anyways, possibly stick with one fee.

-- New water ordinance given out – Need to find the back-flow preventor paragraph for industrial, need to check the plumbing code for this. Outside wells will need to be outside faucet and there should be a big fine in the ordinance for those that do have it hooked up. Should be inspected once a year for this, and that should be in the ordinance. NO CROSS CONNECTIONS! Check the plumbing code for what this

all involves, will the residents have to have the back flow preventor? Call Greg to find out what he has on hand.

--Easements: gave to Trygstad the ones that Beth printed off – Bill did not get the email that Sonneman sent out. Need to check email to see where Karl sent the email to Bill – resend to Bill from my own computer.